Multi-Knowledge Electronic Comprehensive Journal For Education And Science Publications (MECSJ)

EDITORIAL PROCESS

How your paper is being reviewed

INITIAL EVALUATION

MECSJ EDITORIAL PROCESS

After manuscripts submission, the main editor checks the following :

- The structure of the study is compact, logical, and formatted as per the journal's guidelines
- Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication .
- Is the introduction adequate and motivating the reader to read the topic.



PEER REVIEW PROCESS

The process for peer reviewed in MECSJ is (**single\ blind peer review**), which means that the author does not know the identity of the reviewer, but the reviewer knows the identity of the author.

At least two review reports are collected for each submitted manuscript. Suggestions of reviewers can be made by the editor during Initial evaluation. Chief Editor will use qualified Editorial Board Members, & qualified reviewers from our database to evaluate papers in their related field.

Multi-Knowledge Electronic Comprehensive Journal For Education And Science Publications (MECSJ)

Every reviewer should have the following :

- That they hold a PhD.
- That they hold no conflicts of interest with the authors, including if they have published together in the last three years.
- They must have recent publications in the field of the submitted paper.

MECSJ staff handle all communication with reviewers, authors ; To assist journal editors. Reviewers are given two weeks to write their review. For the review of a revised manuscript, reviewers are asked to provide their report within three days. In both cases, extensions can be granted on request.

CHIEF EDITOR DECISION

When making an editorial decision, we expect that the chief editor checks the following:

- The suitability of selected reviewers.
- Overall scientific quality of the paper.
- Adequacy of reviewer comments and author response.
- Chief editor can select from: accept, reject, ask author for revision, ask for an additional reviewer.

Reviewers make recommendations, and Editor-in-Chief is free to disagree with their views. If they do so, they should justify their decision, for the benefit of the authors.

THE REVISION

In cases where only minor revisions are recommended, the author is usually requested to revise the paper before referring to the editor. Articles may or may not be sent to reviewers after author revision, dependent on whether the reviewer requested to see the revised version and the wishes of the chief editor.

MECSJ reviewers are selected from our database of researchers wishing to review research in our journal , The reviewers are selected according to their expertise.